

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,132	10/20/2000	Adnanus Henricus Nicolaas Roestenburg	. 583-1040	7838
7.	590 12/05/2003		EXAMI	INER
William M Le	ee Jr	DUONG, THOMAS		
	th McWilliams Sweene		D. DED 344 1000	
PO Box 2786		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6	Chicago, IL 60690-2786			1
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	—— ,	1,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· ·	09/693,132	ROESTENBURG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas Duong	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2000.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2000</u> is/are:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	,						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experiority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arillier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110/a) (d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence was incl	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification or existence application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

New corrected drawings are required in this application because the current drawings are not clear and presentable. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Koeppel (US006477575B1) and in view of Lambert et al. (US006038601A).
- 4. With regard to *claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45, 55 and 65-67*, Koeppel reference discloses,
 - a data manipulation server (module 110) for disposing in-line between the
 client equipment unit and the content providing server, the data

Art Unit: 2143

manipulation server being coupled to a data store arranged to store data relating to a user of the client equipment unit; wherein (col.6, lines 43-47; sheet 1, fig.1).

• the data manipulation server is adapted to modify web-related data communicated between the client equipment unit and the content providing server using a predetermined amount of the data relating to the user in response to a request for obtaining the web content being transmitted from the client equipment unit (col.1, lines 7-10; col. 2, lines 41-49; sheet 4, fig.5).

However, Koeppel reference does not explicitly disclose,

 a client equipment unit capable of communicating with a content providing server for providing web content;

Lambert teaches,

 a client equipment unit capable of communicating with a content providing server for providing web content; (col.1, lines 52-54; col.5, lines 51-60; sheet 1, fig.1A; sheet 3, fig. 2; sheet 4, fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Lambert reference with Koeppel reference to enable content providers a large amount of control over their own data and how it is presented. Content providers can supply their own graphics, advertising, ticker information, animation control, and content refresh parameters. Also, in order to gain new, or retain existing, customers,

Art Unit: 2143

providers need to ensure they present products and services that potential consumers are interested in. Accordingly, the importance of target advertising and target content provision has become an important role in the way providers conduct business over the Internet.

5. With regard to *claims 2-3, 13-14, 24-25, 35-36, 46-47 and 56-57*, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45 and 55 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose,

- wherein the web related data is data relating to a protocol
- wherein the protocol is a Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) (Koeppel,
 col.1, lines 41-42; Lambert col.1, lines 17-20; col. 5, lines 20-26).
- 6. With regard to *claims 4-5, 15-16, 26-27, 37-38, 48-49 and 58-59*, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45 and 55 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose,

- wherein the web related data is the web content
- wherein the web content is Hyper Text Mark-up Language (HTML) data
 (Koeppel, col.1, lines 43-49; Lambert col.9, lines 46-47).
- 7. With regard to *claims 6-8, 17-19, 28-30, 39-41, 50-52 and 60-62*, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,



Art Unit: 2143

See claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45 and 55 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Koeppel reference discloses,

- wherein the data relating to the user is static data
- wherein the static data is obtained from the user (Koeppel, col. 4, lines 32-38; col.7, lines 49-58).
- wherein the static data is obtained from a content provider associated with the web content (Koeppel, col. 4, lines 32-38; col.7, lines 49-58).
- 8. With regard to *claims 9-10, 20-21, 31-32, 42-43, 53-54 and 63-64*, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45 and 55 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Koeppel reference discloses,

- wherein the data relating to the user is dynamic data
- wherein the dynamic data is obtained from an access provider
 associated with supporting communications between the client
 equipment unit and the content providing server (Koeppel, col.4, lines 7 12, lines 32-38, lines 39-45).
- 9. With regard to *claims 11, 22, 33 and 44*, Koeppel and Lambert references disclose the invention substantially as claimed,

See claims 1, 12, 23, 34, 45 and 55 rejection as detailed above.

Furthermore, Lambert reference discloses,

 wherein the data manipulation server is a proxy server (Lambert, col. 5, lines 45-53; module 304 on sheet 3, fig.2, module 204 on sheet 4, fig.3).

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Linsk (US006138142A)
 - Ukita et al. (US006622174B1)
 - Theriault et al. (US006049821A)
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 703/305-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703/308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for regular communications and 703/872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-3900.

Thomas Duong (AU2143)

Art Unit: 2143

December 1, 2003

Page 7

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100